

Mathematics for linguists

Gerhard Jäger

University of Tübingen

November 18, 2010



Natural deduction for predicate logic

- direct extension of natural deduction for statement logic
- four new rules: one introduction rule and one elimination rule for each quantifier
- there are side conditions that need to be taken into account

Natural deduction: rules

Universal quantifier

$$\frac{\varphi}{\forall v \varphi} \forall I$$

- v is an arbitrary variable
- **Constraint:** v does not occur free in any accessible assumption!

$$\frac{\forall v \varphi}{[t/v]\varphi} \forall E$$

- v is an arbitrary variable and t an arbitrary constant or variable
- **Constraint:** if t is a variable, it must not occur bound in $[t/v]\varphi$

Existential quantifier

$$\frac{[t/v]\varphi}{\exists v\varphi} \exists I$$

- v is an arbitrary variable and t an arbitrary constant or variable
- **Constraint:** if t is a variable, it must not occur bound in $[t/v]\varphi$

Natural deduction: rules

Existential quantifier

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \exists v\varphi \\ | \\ [c/v]\varphi \\ | \\ \vdots \\ | \\ \psi \end{array}}{\psi} \exists E$$

- v is an arbitrary variable
- constraints
 - c is a new constant that does not occur so far in the proof
 - c does not occur in ψ

Examples

$$\neg\exists xPx \vdash \forall x\neg Px$$

1		$\neg\exists xP(x)$	(A)
2			
3			
4		$\neg Px$	2,3,1,3; $\neg I$
5		$\forall x\neg Px$	4; $\forall I$

Examples

$$\forall x \neg Px \vdash \neg \exists x Px$$

1		$\forall x \neg Px$	(A)			
2			$\exists x Px$	(A)		
3				Pa		
4					$\forall x \neg Px$	(A)
5					$\neg Pa$	4; $\forall E$
6				$\neg \forall x \neg Px$	4,5,3,5; $\neg I$	
7		$\neg \forall x \neg Px$	2,3,4;			
8		$\neg \exists x Px$	2,1,7; $\neg I$			

Examples

$$\neg\forall xPx \vdash \exists x\neg Px$$

1		$\neg\forall xPx$	(A)		
2			$\neg\exists x\neg Px$	(A)	
3				$\neg Px$	(A)
4				$\exists x\neg Px$	3; $\exists I$
5		$\neg\neg Px$	3,4,2; $\neg I$		
6		Px	$\neg E$		
7		$\forall xPx$	6; $\forall I$		
8		$\neg\neg\exists x\neg Px$	2,7,1; $\neg I$		
9		$\exists x\neg Px$	$\neg E$		

Examples

$\exists x \neg Px \vdash \neg \forall x Px$

1		$\exists x \neg Px$	(A)			
2			$\forall x Px$	(A)		
3				$\neg Pa$	(A)	
4					$\exists x \neg Px$	(A)
5					Pa	2; $\forall E$
6				$\neg \exists x \neg Px$	4,3,5; $\neg I$	
7		$\neg \exists x \neg Px$	1,2,3; $\exists E$			
8		$\neg \forall x Px$	2,3,1; $\neg I$			

Examples

$$\forall x(Px \wedge Qx) \vdash \forall xPx \wedge \forall xQx$$

1		$\forall x(Px \wedge Qx)$	(A)
2		$Px \wedge Qx$	1; $\forall E$
3		Px	2; $\wedge E1$
4		Qx	2; $\wedge E2$
5		$\forall xPx$	3; $\forall I$
6		$\forall xQx$	4; $\forall I$
7		$\forall xPx \wedge \forall xQx$	5,4; $\wedge I$

Examples

$\exists xPx \rightarrow Qa \vdash \forall x(Px \rightarrow Qa)$

1		$\exists xPx \rightarrow Qa$	(A)
2			
3			
4			
5		$Px \rightarrow Qa$	2,3; $\rightarrow I$
6		$\forall x(Px \rightarrow Qa)$	5; $\forall I$

Final remarks

- calculus of natural deduction is sound and complete
- this means that all and only the logically valid inferences can be proved
- the constraints are necessary; otherwise it would be possible to derive invalid inferences, for instance
 - $\exists xPx \vdash \forall xPx$

Final remarks

- As for the truth tree method, there is no fool-proof solution strategy for natural deduction; and for the same reason
- with the elimination rule for the existential quantifier, arbitrarily many constants can be introduced into a proof, and each constant can be used in the elimination rule for the universal quantifier