# Mathematics for linguists

Gerhard Jäger

University of Tübingen

October 26, 2010





Mathematics for linguists

We have  $p, q, r, \dots$  as atomic statements.

We have p, q, r, ... as atomic statements. The syntax of statement logic is defined *inductively*:

We have p, q, r, ... as atomic statements.

The syntax of statement logic is defined *inductively*:

- Every atomic statement p is a statement.

We have p, q, r, ... as atomic statements.

The syntax of statement logic is defined *inductively*:

- Every atomic statement p is a statement.
- If  $\varphi$  is a statement,  $\neg\varphi$  also is a statement.

We have p, q, r, ... as atomic statements.

The syntax of statement logic is defined *inductively*:

- Every atomic statement p is a statement.
- If  $\varphi$  is a statement,  $\neg \varphi$  also is a statement.
- If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are statements,  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$  also is a statement.

We have p, q, r, ... as atomic statements.

The syntax of statement logic is defined *inductively*:

- Every atomic statement p is a statement.
- If  $\varphi$  is a statement,  $\neg \varphi$  also is a statement.

- If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are statements,  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$  also is a statement. Nothing else is a statement.

We have  $p, q, r, \dots$  as atomic statements.

The syntax of statement logic is defined *inductively*:

- Every atomic statement p is a statement.
- If  $\varphi$  is a statement,  $\neg \varphi$  also is a statement.
- If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are statements,  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$  also is a statement.

Nothing else is a statement.

Note that we assume that statements are finite.

- 0 is a natural number.

- 0 is a natural number.
- If n is a natural number, succ(n)[=n+1] also is a natural number.

- 0 is a natural number.
- If n is a natural number, succ(n)[=n+1] also is a natural number.

Nothing else is a natural number.

- 0 is a natural number.
- If n is a natural number, succ(n)[=n+1] also is a natural number.

Nothing else is a natural number. Again: Finiteness.

- 0 is a natural number.
- If n is a natural number, succ(n)[=n+1] also is a natural number.

Nothing else is a natural number.

Again: Finiteness.

Generally, proving by induction that "All X have the property P" is possible just in case the set of all X is defined inductively.

Note that an inductive definition always consists of

- One or more basic cases.

Note that an inductive definition always consists of

- One or more basic cases.
- One or more rules on how to construct new objects from objects that have already been constructed.

### Proof by Induction on the Structure of Statements

We want to show that a property P holds for all statements.

### Proof by Induction on the Structure of Statements

We want to show that a property  $\mathsf{P}$  holds for all statements. Idea: We

- Show that P holds for the most basic (atomic) statements.

### Proof by Induction on the Structure of Statements

We want to show that a property  $\mathsf{P}$  holds for all statements. Idea: We

- Show that P holds for the most basic (atomic) statements.
- Show that every possibility of building complex statements from statements that have already been constructed 'transfers' P from the constituent statement to the complex one.

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

**Base**: Let  $\varphi \equiv p$ ;

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

- Base: Let  $\varphi \equiv p$ ; the property holds.
- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.
  - Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  and let  $\varphi \equiv (\psi \land \theta)$

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.
  - Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  and let  $\varphi \equiv (\psi \land \theta)$ Let the number of atomic statements in  $\psi$  be m, that of atomic statements in  $\theta$  be n.

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.
  - Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  and let  $\varphi \equiv (\psi \land \theta)$ Let the number of atomic statements in  $\psi$  be m, that of atomic statements in  $\theta$  be n. Then in  $\varphi$  there are m + n occurrences of atomic statements.

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.
  - Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  and let  $\varphi \equiv (\psi \land \theta)$ Let the number of atomic statements in  $\psi$  be m, that of atomic statements in  $\theta$  be n. Then in  $\varphi$  there are m + n occurrences of atomic statements. By the induction assumption, m 1 binary connectives occur in  $\psi$  and n 1 occur in  $\theta$ .

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.
  - Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  and let  $\varphi \equiv (\psi \land \theta)$ Let the number of atomic statements in  $\psi$  be m, that of atomic statements in  $\theta$  be n. Then in  $\varphi$  there are m + n occurrences of atomic statements. By the induction assumption, m 1 binary connectives occur in  $\psi$  and n 1 occur in  $\theta$ . Since one " $\land$ " is added in  $\varphi$  that neither occurs in  $\psi$  nor in  $\theta$ , the total number of occurrences of binary connectives in  $\varphi$  is (m 1) + (n 1) + 1 = m + n 1.

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.
  - Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  and let  $\varphi \equiv (\psi \land \theta)$ Let the number of atomic statements in  $\psi$  be m, that of atomic statements in  $\theta$  be n. Then in  $\varphi$  there are m + n occurrences of atomic statements. By the induction assumption, m 1 binary connectives occur in  $\psi$  and n 1 occur in  $\theta$ . Since one " $\land$ " is added in  $\varphi$  that neither occurs in  $\psi$  nor in  $\theta$ , the total number of occurrences of binary connectives in  $\varphi$  is (m 1) + (n 1) + 1 = m + n 1. So the property holds for  $\varphi$ .

**Theorem**: In every statement  $\varphi$  of statement logic, the number of occurences of binary connectives equals the number of occurences of atomic statements -1.

Proof:

Base: Let  $\varphi \equiv p$ ; the property holds.

- Step: Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and let  $\varphi \equiv \neg \psi$ . Then it also holds for  $\varphi$ , since prefixing " $\neg$ " to  $\psi$  neither changes the number of occurences of atomic statements nor of binary connectives.
  - Suppose the property holds for  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  and let  $\varphi \equiv (\psi \land \theta)$ Let the number of atomic statements in  $\psi$  be m, that of atomic statements in  $\theta$  be n. Then in  $\varphi$  there are m + n occurrences of atomic statements. By the induction assumption, m 1 binary connectives occur in  $\psi$  and n 1 occur in  $\theta$ . Since one " $\land$ " is added in  $\varphi$  that neither occurs in  $\psi$  nor in  $\theta$ , the total number of occurrences of binary connectives in  $\varphi$  is (m 1) + (n 1) + 1 = m + n 1. So the property holds for  $\varphi$ .

Since we have considered all cases, we have established the theorem.